

CRASIF Annual Meeting Survey Results

February 2012



Who of the following people from your organization attends the CRASIF annual meeting?

- } Manager/Director, Commissioner, Clerk, Other, No one.

Results:

Each member may send multiple attendees, but of the 72 members surveyed the following will typically attend:

Manager / Director	Commissioner	Clerk	Other	No one
51	39	9	17	10
69%	53%	12%	23%	14%

On average, each Road Commission sends 1-2 representatives to the CRASIF annual meeting.

Of the following people, who has the voting rights from your organization at the CRASIF annual meeting?

} Manager/Director, Commissioner, Clerk, Other

Results:

<u>Manager/Director</u>	<u>Commissioner</u>	<u>Clerk</u>	<u>Alternate</u>
40	16	1	35
54%	22%	1%	47%

Of the 72 responding members, most of the voting rights belong to the attending Manager/Director. In some cases, a RC board will assign an attendee their commission's voting rights.

To determine what might prevent a representative from your road commission from attending the CRASIF annual meeting, how would you rate the following factors on a scale of 1 to 5; with (1) being VERY IMPORTANT and (5) being NOT IMPORTANT.

- } Location of the annual meeting
- } Time of year
- } Personal Schedule
- } Cost to attend, including travel
- } Other
- } Not interested or worth the time

Results:

When the members' rankings are averaged together the preventing factors are revealed in the following order from highest importance to lowest importance:

- 2.2 of 5 - Personal Schedule
- 2.8 of 5 - Location of the annual meeting
- 3.1 of 5 - Time of year
- 3.1 of 5 - Cost to attend, including travel

Regarding attending the BUSINESS meeting, how would you rate the following factors to influence whether you or a representative will attend. The scale is 1 to 5, with (1) being VERY IMPORTANT and (5) being NOT IMPORTANT.

- } It precedes the Northern or Southern Conference
- } It precedes the CRAM committee meetings
- } It is informative and let's me know what's going on
- } To vote for the CRASIF Board Members
- } Other
- } Not interested or worth the time

Results:

When the members' rankings are averaged together the influencing factors are revealed in the following order from highest importance to lowest importance:

- 1.8 of 5 – It is informative and let's me know what's going on
- 2.0 of 5 – To vote for the CRASIF Board Member
- 2.8 of 5 – It precedes the Southern Conference
- 3.0 of 5 – It precedes the CRAM Committee Meetings

Regarding attending the SAFETY PROGRAM at the annual meeting, how would you rate the following factors in determining whether you or a representative will attend? Scale is (1) for VERY IMPORTANT and (5) for NOT IMPORTANT.

- } It precedes the Northern Conference
- } It precedes the CRAM Committee meetings
- } It precedes the CRASIF Business meeting
- } It is helpful for building and maintaining a safety culture at our road commission
- } Free prizes and drawings
- } Not interested or worth the time
- } Other

Results:

When the members' rankings are averaged together the factors effecting attendance are revealed in the following order from highest importance to lowest importance:

- 1.7 of 5 – It is helpful for building and maintaining a safety culture at our road commission
- 2.7 of 5 – Precedes the CRASIF Business meeting
- 2.8 of 5 – Precedes the Northern Conference
- 3.1 of 5 – Precedes the CRAM Committee meetings
- 4.1 of 5 – Free prizes and drawings

Regarding the importance of the location of our annual meeting, would you be more or less likely to attend CRASIF's annual meeting if:

- } Held in conjunction with the MCRCSIP annual meeting day
- } Held in the southern part of the lower peninsula
- } Held in the northern part of the lower peninsula
- } Suggestions for location?

Results:

The consensus was that a central location to all was the best place for the annual meeting.

Most suggested locations:
 "Central, Gaylord, Mt. Pleasant, Lansing"

Other suggestions:
 "Grand Traverse, Houghton, Little River Casino, Kewadin, Crystal Mountain, Sault St. Marie, Boyne Falls"

<u>With MCRCSIP</u>	<u>Held in Southern</u>	<u>Held in Northern</u>
Members MORE Likely to Attend		
48	25	40
65%	34%	54%
Members LESS Likely to Attend		
6	31	14
8%	42%	19%
DOESN'T MATTER		
17	15	17
23%	20%	23%

Regarding the methods for selecting board members, to be sure the voice of the whole membership is heard, when voting for CRASIF Board Members, would you say the following are more or less important:

- } To limit voting to those present at the meeting
- } To allow the use of absentee ballots
- } To allow the use of proxies

Results:

The survey reveals an overwhelming response in favor of an absentee vote.

Limit voting to those present	Allow Absentee ballots	Allow proxies
MORE Important To		
11	55	30
15%	74%	41%
LESS Important To		
47	6	28
64%	8%	38%
DOESN'T MATTER		
13	9	13
18%	12%	18%

